

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/823,828	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDY HO	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:

(1) Andy Ho.

(2) Eric A. Stephenson (38,321).

Date of Interview: 9 April 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

104-105

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andy Ho/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner informed the applicant that the application was in condition for allowance. However, non-elected claims 104-105 needed to be cancelled and Attorney Docket No. in the specification needed to be removed. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment.